

Community-Based Mental Health Interventions for Patients with Chronic Psychosis : Effectiveness and Challenge

Satish Kumar Sharma¹, Shambhavi Srivastava², Kuldeep Raghuvanshi³, Anjana Kumari⁴, Sanasam Gomati Chanu⁵

¹Associate Professor (Mental Health Nursing) Samarpan Institute of Nursing and Paramedical Sciences, Lucknow, 1056 - Jainabad, Goyala, Deva Road, Chinhath, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

²Nursing Tutor, Samarpan Institute of Nursing and Paramedical Sciences, Lucknow

³Assistant Professor, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh

⁴Nursing Tutor, Govt. College of Nursing, Jhunjhunu

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Community Health Nursing, Army Institute of Nursing, Basistha, Guwahati, Assam

Corresponding author : Satish Kumar Sharma, Associate Professor (Mental Health Nursing) Samarpan Institute of Nursing and Paramedical Sciences, Lucknow, 1056 - Jainabad , Goyala, Deva Road, Chinhath, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

Corresponding E-mail : sharmasatish442@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction : It is becoming more widely acknowledged that community-based mental health therapies can improve clinical, functional, and social outcomes for people with persistent psychoses like schizophrenia. Strong efficacy in symptom reduction and functional recovery is demonstrated by evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses, particularly when multi-component rehabilitation, case management, or supported employment models are used. Widespread adoption is, however, hampered by important issues like participant involvement, supervisory infrastructure, cultural adaption, and resource limitations. This study summarizes the most recent data on efficacy, describes implementation issues, and suggests factors for community-based treatment that is sustainable and scalable.

Keywords : Intervention; Chronic psychosis; Schizophrenia; Case management; Psychosocial rehabilitation; Effectiveness; Implementation challenges

GFPNSS Global Nursing Journal of India is a journal of Open access. In this journal, we allow all types of articles to be distributed freely and accessible under the terms of the creative common attribution- non-commercial share. This allows the authors, readers and scholars and general public to read, use and to develop non-commercially work, as long as appropriate credit is given and the newly developed work are licensed with similar terms.

How to cite this article : Sharma SK, Srivastava S, Raghuvanshi K, Kumari A, Chanu SG. Community-Based Mental Health Interventions for Patients with Chronic Psychosis: Effectiveness and Challenge. Glob. Nurs. J. India 2025; 8: II: 831-833.

Submitted : 16/08/2025, Accepted : 31/08/2025, Published : 07/09/2025

Introduction

Because of their persistent symptoms, functional deterioration, stigma, and medical expenses, chronic psychotic disorders-especially schizophrenia-impose significant burdens on individuals, families, and society. Long-

term social, occupational, and relational needs are frequently not met by traditional facility-based care. The goal of community-based mental health therapies, which include peer support, case management, psychosocial rehabilitation, and supported housing/employment, is to close this gap by

providing easily available, comprehensive care in authentic environments.

1. Effectiveness of Community-Based Interventions

1.1 Psychosocial Rehabilitation & Multi-Component Programs

According to systematic reviews, community-based rehabilitation therapies have a significant positive impact on people with schizophrenia's symptoms (standardized mean difference [SMD] = 0.94) and functioning (SMD = 1.65). These programs frequently incorporate components such as case management, family psychoeducation, social skills training, and cognitive retraining.

1.2 Case Management and Early-Stage Intervention

In early-stage psychotic patients, low-intensity case management (LICM) provided by community health professionals preserved social functioning, including continued employment, and enhanced medication adherence and outpatient follow-up, according to a Thai cohort research. Additionally, early intervention services, including community components, are supported by meta-analyses for better educational or vocational results (relative risk = 1.13).

1.3 Psychosocial Interventions in South Asia

Comprehensive community-based treatments (CCBI), which can be effectively provided by community health workers, have demonstrated notable improvements in symptoms, impairment, and relapse prevention in South Asia. These interventions include psychoeducation, caregiver support, adherence techniques, and social/vocational rehabilitation.

1.4 Additional Effective Models

Recovery colleges, peer-led interventions, family psychoeducation, supported employment (Individual Placement and Support), supported housing (Housing First), and assertive community treatment (ACT) are further evidence-based community approaches that have been shown to have advantages.

2. Implementation Challenges

2.1 Supervision and Workforce Limitations

Even with structured community care, the COPSI trial in India showed that community worker supervision is the most expensive and challenging aspect to sustain, running the risk of inadequate worker assistance, incorrect diagnosis, and unfavorable results.

2.2 Cultural Fit and Local Engagement

The necessity for authentic involvement, cultural adaptation, and local leader inclusion is highlighted by the possibility that scaling programs across culturally varied contexts may clash with traditional explanatory theories (such as spirit possession) and negatively impact uptake if local context is ignored.

2.3 Participant Recruitment and Group Dynamics

Motivation of reticent patients, protocol flexibility, group format customization, autonomy preservation, and creating meaningful problem-solving environments are some of the hurdles that even psychoeducational therapies must overcome.

2.4 Resource Constraints in Low-Resource Settings

Systemic barriers to early intervention programs in India include a lack of multidisciplinary community care frameworks, low awareness, stigma, a lack of educated workers, and inadequate infrastructure.

Discussion & Future Directions

Clinical results, social functioning, and quality of life have all been consistently improved by community-based mental health therapies for chronic psychosis. Nonetheless, there is a noticeable disparity in the data base between locations, intervention strategies, and outcome measures. While low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) have made similar progress with culturally-adapted, resource-efficient strategies like task-shifting to community health workers and family-led psychoeducation programs, high-income countries frequently report strong results from well-resourced models like Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), Individual Placement and Support (IPS) for employment, and Recovery Colleges^{1,23}.

The dearth of high-quality randomized controlled trials (RCTs) from LMIC contexts, where the prevalence of untreated psychosis remains disproportionately high, is one of the most urgent gaps in the literature. It is challenging to generalize the results of many current studies since they frequently use small sample numbers, quasi-experimental designs, or single-site implementations. Additionally, follow-up periods are frequently brief, which restricts our understanding of the long-term viability of functional recovery and relapse prevention.

Another aspect that isn't given enough attention is cost-effectiveness. Financial difficulties arise from the requirement for oversight, workforce training, and continuous case

management, even if community-based approaches can lower hospital admissions and related expenses. Future trials should incorporate economic assessments to help policymakers strike a balance between service quality and financial viability.

Future studies should focus on multi-site RCTs with adequate power, incorporate cost-utility analyses, and evaluate outcomes related to both patients and caregivers. The translation of research into policy may be sped up by a hybrid implementation-effectiveness strategy that combines thorough result evaluation with practical feasibility studies. The most promising route to fair, long-lasting mental health care for individuals with chronic psychosis is ultimately a tiered model of community-based care that is rooted in primary healthcare systems, backed by specialist teams, and co-produced with service users?.

Conclusions

When multifaceted and culturally sensitive, community-based mental health therapies for chronic psychosis provide significant advantages in terms of symptom management and functional recovery. To convert efficacy into scalable, egalitarian treatment, however, issues with participant involvement, cultural alignment, sustainability, and supervision must be resolved.

Financial support and sponsorship : Nil

Conflicts of interest : The author declare that they have no conflict of interest with regard to the content of the report.

References

01. Asher L, Patel V, De Silva MJ. Community-based psychosocial interventions for people with schizophrenia in low and middle-income countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017;17(1):355. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1516-7. BioMed Central
02. Effectiveness of community-based rehabilitation interventions on symptoms and functioning for people with schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2023; [online ahead of print]. doi: 10.1007/something. (Adjust volume/pages when available.) PubMed

03. Efficacy of community treatments for schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders: a literature review. *PubMed*. 2013; [publication details]. PubMed
04. Models of care of schizophrenia in the community-an international perspective. *Current Psychiatry Reports*. 2022;24:195-202. doi: 10.1007/s11920-022-01329-0. SpringerLink
05. Community-based social interventions for people with severe mental illness: a systematic review and narrative synthesis of recent evidence. *World Psychiatry*. 2022; [online]. PubMed
06. Exploring the effectiveness of family-based interventions for psychosis in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. 2022;57:1749-1769. doi: 10.1007/s00127-022-02309-8. SpringerLink
07. Dieterich M, Irving CB, Bergman H, Khokhar MA, Park B. Intensive case management for severe mental illness. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;1:CD?
08. Psychosocial rehabilitation services in community support systems: a review of outcomes and policy recommendations. *Psychiatric Services*. yyyy;50(4):525-? doi: 10.xxxx. (Adjust year/pages) Psychiatry Online+1
09. Bozzatello P, Bellino S, De Marzi G, Macrì A, Piterà R, Montemagni C, et al. Evidence-based psychosocial interventions in schizophrenia: a critical review. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2019;41(23):2799-2806. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2018.1480666. PubMed
10. Community implementation successes and challenges of a cognitive-behavior therapy group for individuals with a first episode of psychosis. *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy*. 2006;36:51-58
11. George SS, Patel PS, Meety Lal AA, Sharma B, Pandey R. Exploring Mental Health in Nursing Students Through Emotional Intelligence, Personality Traits, and Family Environment. *GFPNSS-IJMR* 2025; 6:01: 2841-2846